IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 11TH APRIL 2007 / 21ST CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 8983 of 2007(T)

PETITIONER:

VARGHESE MATHEW, S/O.MATHEW,
POOCHIMOOTTIL, PAYIPPAD, CHANGANASSERY TALUK,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SMT.K.G.SAROJINI
SMT.N.C.SALINI

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. TAHSILDAR (.R.R), CHANGANACHERRY.

2. VILLAGE OFFICER, PAYIPPAD VILLAGE,
CHANGANACHERRY TALUK.

3. DISTRICT EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
KERALA MOTOR TRANSPORT WORKERS WELFARE FUND,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

4. BINU CHACKO, S/O.CHACKO,
MUTTATHODE, PAYIPPAD,
CHANGANACHERRY TALUK,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

5. BIJU CHACKO, S/O.CHACKO, MUTTATHODE,
PAYIPPAD, CHANGANACHERRY TALUK,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.RAMAKRISHNAN, SC,KMTWF BOARD-R3

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 11/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

W.P.(C).No.8983 of 2007-T

Dated this the 11th day of April, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner purchased two vehicles from respondents 4 and 5. Apprehending that for the welfare fund dues in relation to the workmen engaged by the said respondents, steps may be taken against the petitioner, this writ petition is filed.

2. The learned Standing Counsel appearing for the third respondent submitted that so far no requisition has been given to the revenue recovery officials to recover any amount from the petitioner. The said submission is recorded. In case there is any proposal to recover any amount from the petitioner for the period he was not the actual employer of the workmen, he shall be put on notice and his objection shall be considered before coercive steps are taken.

The Writ Petition is disposed of as above.

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, JUDGE.

MS